

Date: Sun, 30 Jan 94 04:30:05 PST  
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #90  
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest                      Sun, 30 Jan 94                      Volume 94 : Issue    90

Today's Topics:

DSP-9 Filters (and others) -- New Thread  
HY-GAIN TELEPHONE NO.?

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

-----  
Date: 28 Jan 1994 15:31:21 GMT  
From: news.cstar.andersen.com!news.acns.nwu.edu!casbah.acns.nwu.edu!  
rdewan@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: DSP-9 Filters (and others) -- New Thread  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <24cTgc1w165w@jackatak.raider.net>,  
Jack GF Hill <root@jackatak.raider.net> wrote:

>  
>The thread of DSP-9 (etc) Digital Filters and bandwidth/ringing has pretty  
>well wound down, and while I do not mean to fire that discussion up, I am  
>seeking information on a different aspect of these lovely little devices:  
>  
>Several hams using these filters have reported problems, both on the Nets  
>and to the manufacturers, when engaging both the Heterodyne (tone)  
>processing filter \*AND\* the random noise filter. The audio becomes  
>distorted.

I have noticed this, both, in listening to broadcast stations and in  
listening to demos done using recorded ssb qsos.

>  
>A Ham from Louisiana offered the suggestion, that had been offered him and  
>seemed to work well, that the unit would work OK if the operator would  
>simply turn off their transceiver's speech processor.  
>  
>There followed a VERY heated argument about how the speech processing  
>circuit processes OUTBOUND audio only and has NOTHING to do with the  
>INBOUND audio going to the processor. However, when the (RF) Speech  
>Processors were turned off, the DSP-9 (and DSP-59 and a few other brands as  
>well) \*ALL\* responded as they were supposed to...

I checked the schematic of my Kenwood TS850s. The compressor is not in  
circuit during receive.

>  
>The question was immediately raised: "What could the (RF) speech processor  
>have to do with the incoming audio?"  
>  
>I speculated, and am seeking confirmation here, that the \*RF\* speech  
>processor circuitry is engaged all the time the "compression" button is  
>engaged, that unlike the final amp which is biased to cut-off, the speech  
>processor runs, with no input, all the time. This would explain why the  
>simple turning-off of the processor eliminates the problem, as does moving  
>the DSP unit OFF the top of the radio and placing it a few feet away.  
>  
>A glance at the block diagram of my rig and a quick look at the schematic  
>seems to bear this hypothesis out, but I am still unsure and seek  
>additional input and thought...  
>  
>The real motive is: I have a DSP-9 that I intend to install in my mobile,  
>but I have NO CHOICE about where to position the unit -- it MUST go right  
>on top of the transceiver or not at all. I do NOT use a speech processor  
>for a variety of reasons, but some of my co-pilots do...

I thought that it has more to do with the way in which the de-noiser and  
the auto-notcher work. Crudely speaking, the auto-notcher removes the  
parts that are highly serially correlated and the denoiser removes the  
parts that are least serially correlated. Both of these depend on the  
time serial correlation characteristics of human voice. But this can  
change with fast fades. Hence the problem.

Rajiv  
aa9ch  
r-dewan@nwu.edu

-----  
Date: Fri, 28 Jan 94 16:52:55 -0800

From: netcomsv!netcomsv!lavc!lawrence.goodwin@decwrl.dec.com  
Subject: HY-GAIN TELEPHONE NO.?  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

N> From: Bob.Albert@f943.n102.z1.fidonet.org (Bob Albert)  
N> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc  
N> Subject: Hy-Gain telephone no.?  
N> Date: Tue, 25 Jan 1994 19:39:18 -0800

N> Does anyone have an 800 number for Telex/Hy-Gain? My tribander driven  
N> element broke in the earthquake and I want to see if they can supply  
N> parts for it.. 73 DE K6DDX

Hiya Bob. I can't find an 800 number, but the customer service number  
for antenna parts is (402) 465-7022.

Sorry to hear about the damage. You're not the only one though...one of  
my Kenwood 631As bounced around my living room, and took a real beating.  
Fortunately, that was the extent of radio related damage here.

73 de Larry, KC6WOG@N6YN.#SOCA.CA.USA.NA  
kc6wog@kc6wog.ampr.org  
lawrence.goodwin@support.com

-----

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #90

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*